

RESOLUTION

SHERBURNE COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

June 4, 2013

060413-AD-1536

Date

Number

WHEREAS, Sherburne County has adopted and implemented the minimum 10 performance measures developed by the Council on Local Results and Innovation, and

WHEREAS, the County has implemented or is in the process of implementing a local performance measurement system as developed by the Council on Local Results and Innovation, and

WHEREAS, the County has or will report the results of the 10 adopted measures to its residents before the end of the calendar year through publication, direct mailing, posting on the entity's website, or through a public hearing at which the budget and levy will be discussed and public input allowed, and

WHEREAS, the County has or will survey its residents by the end of the calendar year on the services included in the performance benchmarks;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Sherburne County Board of Commissioners approves participation in the Performance Measures Program.

On June 4, 2013, Commissioner Riebel moved the adopted of the above resolution; Commissioner Anderson seconded the motion to adopt said resolution, and thereupon the same was put to a vote with the following result:

	Aye	Naye
Commissioner Anderson	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Commissioner Petersen	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Commissioner Riebel	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Commissioner Schmiesing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Commissioner Leonard	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

ATTEST:

Rachel Leonard  
Rachel Leonard, Board Chair

Brian Bensen  
Brian Bensen, Administrator  
(Seal)



<p><b>Public Health</b></p> <p>General life expectancy</p> <p>Behavioral risk factor surveillance system rating (citizen survey)</p>	<p><b>General Life Expectancy:</b> <i>(note: I contacted the Center for Health Statistics at the Mn Dept of Health who indicated that the standard measure, listed on the PDF is not accurate and that the source below is the most up to date resource for life expectancy by county.)</i></p> <p>Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME). United States Adult Life Expectancy by State and County 1987-2009. Seattle, United States: Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME), 2012.</p> <p><a href="http://www.healthmetricsandevaluation.org/ghdx/record/united-states-adult-life-expectancy-state-and-county-1987-2009">http://www.healthmetricsandevaluation.org/ghdx/record/united-states-adult-life-expectancy-state-and-county-1987-2009</a></p> <p><b>Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Rating:</b> <i>(note: there is no specific county rating from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, so I contacted the Center for Health Statistics at the Mn Dept of Health who believes they are referring to overall health status, in the County Health Rankings it's the "Percent of adults reporting fair or poor health (age-adjusted)" under Health Outcomes.)</i></p>	<p><b>General Life Expectancy (2009):</b> 77.9 for males 81.6 for females</p> <p><b>Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Rating:</b> 17%</p>
<p><b>Social Services</b></p> <p>Workforce participation rate among MFIP and DWP recipients</p> <p>% of children where recurrence of maltreatment within 12 months of intervention</p> <p>Child Support Program Cost Effectiveness*</p> <p>% of low birth-weight children*</p>	<p><b>Workforce participation rate among MFIP and DWP recipients:</b> Department of Human Services. MFIP Management Indicators</p> <p><b>Percent of children with recurrence of maltreatment within 12 months of intervention:</b> SSIS Charting and Analysis</p> <p><b>Percent of low birth weight children:</b> County Health Rankings</p> <p><b>Child Support Program Cost Effectiveness:</b> DHS</p>	<p><b>Workforce participation rate among MFIP and DWP recipients:</b> 78.3%</p> <p><b>Percent of children with recurrence of maltreatment within 12 months of intervention:</b> 2%</p> <p><b>Percent of low birth weight children:</b> 6.2%</p> <p><b>Child Support Program Cost Effectiveness:</b> Sherburne County's Cost Effectiveness Measure for FFY 2012 is \$7.43 (state average is \$4.50). Sherburne ranked 6<sup>th</sup> in the state.</p>

<p><b>Taxation (Assessment)</b></p> <p>Level of assessment ration (90 – 105% median ratio)</p>	<p>Submitted Ratio's for Asmt Year 2012 were in the acceptable median ratio.</p>	<p>No State orders were directed by the Department of Revenue for Assessment Year 2012.</p>
<p><b>Recorder</b></p> <p>Turn-around time for recording, Indexing and returning real Estate documents*</p>	<p>In 2012 the turnaround time for recorded documents was 10 business days for paper documents and 5 days for electronic documents.</p>	<p>99.7% of all documents were returned in 10 days or less.</p>
<p><b>Elections</b></p> <p>Accuracy of post election audit – % of ballots counted accurately</p>	<p>For the PER report from the November 6, 2012 General Election, three (3) Sherburne County Precincts were randomly selected after the Canvassing of the State General Election for a hand count to compare the totals to the M100 machine tapes to be completed November 20<sup>th</sup> starting at 1:00 p.m. in the County Board Room.</p>	<p>Results of the 5,186 ballots that the M100 Machine counted for the three precincts chosen, the hand count came up with 5,186 ballots being counted. 2 ballots in total had stray marks which accounted as over votes. This resulted in voter intent number adjusted to the correct candidate or a .0385% difference or a 99.9615% accuracy rate.</p>
<p><b>Veteran's Services</b></p> <p>% of Veteran's surveyed who said ?'s were answered by VSO</p> <p>Dollars brought into county for Veterans' benefits*</p> <p>% of veterans receiving federal Benefits*</p>		<p>Surveyed 200 veterans over a two month period. (March-April 2013) 98% stated their questions were answered.</p> <p>\$38,082,000 Federal and State dollars brought into Sherburne (VA &amp; State figures)</p> <p>38% Sherburne County Veterans receiving federal benefits using our VIMS database.</p>

<p><b>Parks</b></p> <p>Citizen survey of quality of Parks, rec programs facilities</p>	<p>Citizen Survey</p> <p>Park Open House</p>	<p>The last Countywide survey done in 2003 indicated positive responses (79%) to the County's parks. One specific question asked was: "Considering the county parks and other facilities that exist, do you feel the existing county parks are adequate for your household needs?" The following answers were given to this question:</p> <p>Strongly Yes.....23%  Yes.....56%  No.....13%  Strongly No.....3%  Don't Know.....5%</p> <p>As part of the development process for Island View Park, in 2010 we held park tours and an open house for the community. Both were well attended and the public was able to provide feedback on a questionnaire that was provided. The questionnaires responses indicated the preferred uses for the park which were used to develop the master plan..</p>
<p><b>Library</b></p> <p># of annual visits per 1000 residents</p>	<p>We conducted the door count from October 8-13, 2012. For our state report, we extrapolate the year's data from the one-week period.</p>	<p>The library visits data was reported as follows in our 2012 state library report:</p> <p>Becker 34,996  Big Lake 36,168  Elk River 103,012  Saint Cloud 574,808</p>

		Becker, Big Lake, Elk River, and St. Cloud are open six days per week - Monday thru Saturday.
<b>Budget, Financial</b>  Bond Rating*  Debt service levy per capita; outstanding debt per capita*	Sherburne County's current bond rating is AA  Schedule XII of the 2012 Sherburne County Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.	According to Standards & Poor's Bond rating for Sherburne County's last Bond issuance dated March 31, 2009 went from an A+ to AA.  Sherburne County's 2012 population is 89,455 according to the Minnesota State Demographic Center, our Bonded Debt per Capita for 2012 is \$264.94 of the outstanding gross bonded debt of \$23,700,000.
<b>*New or amended measure</b>		